

UNITED STRES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/837,234 04/18/01 KLUG D 88265-4026 **EXAMINER** 028765 IM22/1001 WINSTON & STRAWN TRAN LIEN, T 200 PARK AVENUE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER NEW YORK NY 10166-4193 1761 DATE-MAILED: 10/01/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

•

Application No.

09/837,234

Applicant(s) Klug et al.

Office Action Summary Examiner

Lien Tran

Art Unit 1761



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
A SHOTHE No External be - If NO Co Eaitur - Any I ea	ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory mmunication. The to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
Status 1) 🔯	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 18, 2	.001
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 🔀 This act	tion is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u>	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.
13) ☐ a) ☐	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority described application from the International Buresee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	ve been received. ve been received in Application No locuments have been received in this National Stage and (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachm	entis)	
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
, ,	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) 🔲 In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/837234

Art Unit: 1761

1. Claims 5,8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 is indefinite because it is not clear what is included or excluded as the filling. In claims 8-9, "the fat-containing" does not have antecedent basis.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1,4,7,8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Biggs et al.

Biggs et al disclose a food product comprising a wafer and a substantially water-free fatbased filling (see col. 1 lines 62-66). The wafer may be coated with a barrier coating such as a fat or fat based coating (see col. 2 lines 5-10). The wafer may be shaped to achieve any desired shape such as a shell, core, hollow tube, cup, envelop. The product is made by shaping the wafer around a food core.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/837234

Art Unit: 1761

- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 2-4,6,8 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Biggs et al.

Biggs et al do not disclose the shape is a cone, the size and the step of allowing the confectionery to harden.

It would have been obvious to make the product in any shape desired; this would have been an obvious matter of choice. Biggs et al disclose the product can be made to achieve any desired shape. It would also have been an obvious of choice to make the product in any size. As to the step of allowing the confectionery to harden, this depends on the type of filling and texture desired. If the filling is soft and a harden texture is desired, it would have been obvious to allow the filling to harden. It would have been obvious to include inclusions such as nut, fruit, etc. in the filling to obtain a different texture and taste. It would also have been obvious to use any filling depending on the taste desired.

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 1761

Herting and Grigoli et al disclose wafer cone containing filling.

Lawrence, Rosso and Ferrero disclose filled wafer products.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lien Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-1868. The examiner can normally be reached on Wed-Fri. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

September 28, 2001

LIEN TRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Choup 1700